

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,657	08/28/2003	Kenichi Nakatate	Q76816	6914
7590 07/31/2006		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			DEHGHAN, QUEENIE S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
······································	0 2000, 1215		1731	
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

E	
AL.	
address	
(30) DAYS,	
is communication.	
the merits is	
iner. ). ' CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152.	
nal Stage	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/649,657	NAKATATE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Queenie Dehghan	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	lv 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
,_	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	:					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 28 August 2003 is/are:		o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	priority under 35 H.S.C. 8 119(a)	or(d) or (f)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
		on No				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-21 in the reply filed on July 10,
 acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made:
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magome et al. (2002/0145711) in view of Urano et al. (English translation of JP Abstract 2000-103629).

Art Unit: 1731

5. Regarding claims 1, 9, and 10, Magome et al. disclose an apparatus comprising a container (6) filled with a gas containing hydrogen ([0103], figure 1), an optical element of silica glass, such as a lens, accommodated in the container, and an excimer laser emitting UV light, ([0032], [0057]), wherein the optical element and light source are aligned so that the light is incident on the optical element (figure 1). However, Magome et al. fail to disclose the pressure of the hydrogen gas. Urano et al. teach placing a quartz glass article in an atmosphere comprising hydrogen with a partial pressure of 0.1-10 atm (0.1-10kgf/cm2) when irradiating the glass article with UV light (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the partial pressure of hydrogen as taught by Urano et al. in the apparatus of Magome et al. in order to inhibit the increase loss of UV transmission of the optical elements, as taught by Urano et al.

- 6. Regarding claims 5-7, Magome et al. disclose a shut-off valve disposed on the inlet of the container that is connected to an external element for supplying the gas into the container (figure 1, 2, [0060]). Magome et al. further disclose an outlet (93) for the hydrogen gas (figure 1, [0069]).
- 7. Regarding claim 8, Magnum et al. fail to disclose the concentration of the hydrogen gas. Urano et al. teach using pure hydrogen. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the pure hydrogen gas of Urano et al. in Magome et al. apparatus in order to ensure enough hydrogen is present to prevent the UV irradiation degradation.

Art Unit: 1731

8. Claims 11 and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagiwara et al. (6,222,610) in view of Urano et al. (English translation of JP Abstract 2000-103629).

- 9. Regarding claims 11, Hagiwara et al. disclose a container filled with a gas containing hydrogen (col. 2 lines 33-37, col. 12, lines 23-28). Furthermore, Hagiwara et al. disclose the container having a first light transmission window (9A in figure 1), and an optical element in the container (9B in figure 1), wherein the optical element is aligned to receive light incident upon the transmission window (figure 1, col. 6 lines 8-11). However, Hagiwara et al. fail to disclose the pressure of the hydrogen gas used in the container. Urano et al. teach placing a quartz glass article in an atmosphere comprising hydrogen with a partial pressure of 0.1-10 atm (0.1-10kgf/cm²) when irradiating the glass article with UV light (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the partial pressure of hydrogen as taught by Urano et al. in the apparatus of Hagiwara et al. in order to inhibit the increase loss of UV transmission of the optical elements, as taught by Urano et al.
- 10. Regarding claims 15-17, Hagiwara et al. disclose shut-off and check valves disposed on the inlet of the container that is connected to an external element for supplying the gas into the container. Hagiwara et al. further disclose an outlet for the hydrogen gas (figure 2, col. 7 line 64 to col. 8 line 15, abstract).
- 11. Regarding claim 18, Hagiwara et al. fail to disclose the concentration of the hydrogen gas. Urano et al. teach using pure hydrogen. It would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 1731

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the pure hydrogen gas of Urano et al. in Hagiwara et al. apparatus in order to ensure enough hydrogen is present to prevent the UV irradiation degradation.

- 12. Regarding claims 19-21, Hagiwara et al. disclose a light transmission window that is a lens (9A) and an optical element that is a lens (9B) as well (figure 2, col. 6 lines 8-11). Hagiwara et al. also disclose a container further comprising a second light transmission window, arranged to transmit light incident upon the first transmission window after the light is transmitted through the optical element (9C in figure 2).
- 13. Claims 2-3 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magome et al. (2002/0145711) in view of Urano et al. (English translation of JP Abstract 2000-103629), as applied to claim 1 above, and Hagiwara et al. (6,222,610) in view of Urano et al. (English translation of JP Abstract 2000-103629), as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Fujinoki et al. (English machine translation of JP 2000-095535). Magome et al., Urano et al., and Hagiwara et al. fail to disclose an optical element that has been subjected to a heat treatment. Fujinoki et al. teach heat treating an optical element in a hydrogen atmosphere before accommodating in the container, wherein the pressure of the hydrogen atmosphere is 10 atm (10kgf/cm²) and the temperature is 300-450°C ([0019]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the heat treatment of Fujinoki for the optical elements placed in the container of Magome et al., Urano et al., and Hagiwara et al. in order to provide for an optical element that has high endurance for irradiation of an UV laser, as taught by Fujinoki et al.

14. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magome et al. (2002/0145711) in view of Urano et al. (English translation of JP Abstract 2000-103629), as applied to claim 1 above, and Hagiwara et al. (6,222,610) in view of Urano et al. (English translation of JP Abstract 2000-103629), as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Ohtsu et al. (6,793,980). Magome et al., Urano et al., and Hagiwara et al. fail to disclose the concentration of the hydrogen in the gas atmosphere. Ohtsu et al. teach an atmosphere of a nitrogen gas containing 3% vol. Hydrogen for irradiating a glass plate with an excimer laser (col. 10 lines 53-59, col. 7 lines 21-29). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the hydrogen concentration of Ohtsu et al. in the container of Magome et al., Urano et al., and Hagiwara et al. in order to provide for a reducing environment that is below the explosion limit of the hydrogen gas, as taught by Ohtsu et al.

Page 6

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Queenie Dehghan whose telephone number is (571)272-8209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Q Dehghan

ERIC HUĞ PRIMARY EXAMINER